App. F. IX-4

B242

*Previous References.*

1947-48

( S.D )

A

File No. A 229-4

Serial Nos. 1, 2

*Later References.*

( Vide final orders in file A 36-49 )

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos.* TWD (1-2)

Preserve

Part B for

**SUBJECT**

Re: Question of grant of compensation allowance to the Staff in the Food Deptt.

Pages — Notes. 1 to 13.
Corrs. 1 to 8.

A. Sengupta
19-10-47

Re:- Revision of scales of pay of the Non-Gazetted Staff in the Food Deptt.

1783

---

Letter no: no. C/- 1956/47-8 dated 25th June 1948, from the D.F.S. Requesting orders of Govt. for permitting the non-Gazetted staff working in the Food Deptt, to draw their salary according to the revised scales of pay.

It is reported by the D.F.S. that Some of the officials in his Deptt, who have been drafted for work from various other Departments, have been benefited by the revised scales of pay sanctioned by Govt.

2) Some of the officials, it is stated are likely to get something more, over and above the sanctioned pay of the Food Deptt.

3) As the pay of some of the officials exceeds the pay, they are drawing in the Food Deptt, the D.F.S. requests sanction for allowing them to draw the pay according to the revised scales.

4) Apart from this the D.F.S. has recommended sanction for permission to draw 20% of salary as deputation allowance in addition to the salary, they are likely to get, as soon as allocations are approved

Re:- Revised scales of pay in the Food Deptt.

---

in their respective departments. The A & A.G. is, however, of opinion that Spl. allowance over such revised scales should not be given. (Please para 2 of A & A.G's remarks) 5

5) Kind ref is invited to para 22 of note placed below. It is observed by the Minister for F & S that "Proposals for further enhancement of scales of pay of the Food staff are not only not called for in the circumstances, but are financially unacceptable"

6) Another proposal for revision of scales of pay in the Food Deptt, has been submitted by the D.F.S. in Dec. 1947. It was also turned down. Please see flag ~~A~~ on

7) ~~However~~ It may, however, be stated that, it is fair to allow the officials to draw whatever salary they are entitled to get under the revised scales in their respective departments. If this were to be denied, it would work as a great hardship to a large no. of officials and may even tend to reduce the morale of public service.

Re:- Revised scales of pay in the Food Deptt.

7783.

(3)

8) Regarding the grant of 20% allowance over the revised scales of pay Finance Deptt may be Consulted.

9) The Proposals for orders are:—

1) permission to draw salary according to the revised scales of pay.

2) permission to grant 20% allowance over and above the revised scales of pay in consideration of the arduous nature of the work in the Food Deptt.

"B" Collection S.D. # 229/45 Serials 7 to 8 is placed below as desired Ref Para 7.

H.S. 2/7 Rly 2/7

10) The letter of the Director of Food Supplies proposing the revision of scales of pay of the Non-Gazetted staff of the Food Department together with the note by the Auditor and Accounting Officer, is for perusal.

11) The Director has proposed that the officials of other Departments who are working in the Food Department may be paid the revised pay in the permanent departments plus a deputation allowance of 20 per cent thereof in all cases in which the aggregate of such revised pay and deputation allowance exceeds the pay of the posts held by them in the Food Department. He has proposed to give effect to this revision from 1-1-1947, the date from which the revised scales of pay have been sanctioned in the case of other Departments. The proposal to revise the salaries was turned down on two previous occasions.

(12)

REVISION OF SCALES OF PAY OF THE NON-GAZETTED STAFF IN THE FOOD DEPT.

---

Kind reference is invited to paras 17 to 23 in Collection "T" No.SDA.64-47, Serials 1 to 4, and to Paras 5 to 7 in "U" Collection No.SDA.119/47, Serials 1 and 2, which are placed below. The Financial Secretary and the Finance Minister were strongly opposed to any revision in the salaries of the officials of the Food Department.

12) The main difficulty which the Director has felt is that some of the officials belonging to the Insurance and Police Departments now working in the Food Department are complaining that their salaries in the permanent departments exceed what they are getting now in the Food Department and that if enhanced pay is not given in the Food Department, they might prefer to revert to their permanent Departments. He has stated that such a course would seriously impair the efficiency if the few experienced and senior officials are disturbed at this juncture.

13) Kind reference is invited to Collection No.SDA.229/45, "V" Serials 7 and 8, regarding the pay of Sri C.J. Madhwaraj of the Food Department --- Paras 6 to 10. It has been laid down there that when the salary of the officer in his permanent Department was revised and there was no post in the Food Department to which he could be fitted in, he should be reverted at once to the permanent department.

14) The proposal of the Director of Food Supplies is for orders. If the proposal is not approved, the officials of other Departments whose salaries are higher in those Departments than what they are now getting in the Food Department, will have to be reverted. The Financial Secretary may be consulted.

7/7/48

Food Secy

Jt. Secy. to G.

7/7/48

7/7

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
U. O. NO. FL 297
19 JUL 1948
FINANCIAL SECRETARY, BANGALORE.

15) When Government took control Food Supply operations, the then Minister (in-charge of Food Supplies) preferred to have fixed scales of pay to the officials of the Food Supply Department to the usual procedure of sanctioning a deputation allowance of 20% of the salary in addition to the grade pay of the Department, in which the officials ~~are~~ were working, with the result the officials of the Food Department have all along been enjoying higher rate of fixed pay. The increase over the substantive pay ranges from 25 to 75 per cent. While the officials of the Audit Department are being allowed from the beginning their grade pay plus 20% deputation allowance according to the rules.

16) ~~some of the officials of the Food Department~~ Merely because some of the officials of other Departments who are now working in the Food Department get higher rate of pay in their parent Departments in view of the revision of scales of pay recently sanctioned, there is no justification for revising the scales of pay of this temporary Department which is to be abolished shortly. The utmost ~~concessions~~ concession that could be given in this case is that such of the officials who draw higher rate of pay in their parent department, may be permitted to draw the same so long as they work in the Food Department. Whatever ~~may be~~ might have been the justification that necessitated the grant of higher rate of pay to these officials at a time when the Food Supply Department was in a formative stage, there is no reason now to grant the higher scale of

viz., the revised pay applicable to these officials in their parent department plus a deputation allowance of 20% thereon so long as they continue to work in the Food Supply Department.

17) In this connection I would like to state that the rule regarding grant of deputation allowance to Government servants requires to be modified in view of the fact that this rule which was originally intended to apply only in respect of officials posted for special kind of work is now being made use of in respect of all kinds of work requiring no special skill or ability. Considering that the scales of pay of the non-gazetted Government servants have been liberally revised, I think that the ~~quantum~~ quantum of deputation allowance now being given may be reduced to a maximum of 10% instead of 20% as at present. If necessary the Economy Officer may be requested to examine the scope as well as the quantum of allowance ~~given~~ to be given to Government servants deputed to works of special nature.

18) In the meanwhile I would suggest that even in the case of officials (drafted from other departments ~~now working~~ for work in the Food Supply Department) who are drawing their grade pay in the department plus deputation allowance, the deputation allowance now being drawn by them may be withdrawn forthwith, as there is now no justification for drawing the deputation allowance in addition to the revised pay which is much more liberal than the pay prior to the revision. Considering that the ~~food~~ work in the Food Supply Department has become more of a routine nature than involving any special or ~~more~~ onerous nature when compared with the work

7783/1684 Revision of the scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Dept. (7)



No 297/43

at the beginning when the department was in its infancy, I think there is no justification for grant of any deputation allowance in addition to the revised scales of pay which they are drawing at present.

Food Secy/RE) 13/7/48

14 JUL 1948
IC S

Letter No. C 1956/47-48. dt 20.9.48, from the D.F.S. requesting early orders on the above proposals. Submitted. 22/9

(9) The recommendation of the D.F.S. is in respect of those officials of the Food Supplies Dept. whose services have been lent to that office by other Govt. departments. These officials form the body of the senior and experienced personnel of the Food Supplies Dept. at present. When they joined the Food department, they were not given an allowance over their existing pay (in the parent department) but were fixed in certain special scales which at the time were somewhat higher than those in which they were working in the parent department. With the general revision (Jan: 1947) of scales of pay of non-gazetted staff in Govt. departments (which, however, did not apply to the F.S. section, the latter being considered a temporary department), the pay which many of these officials would now be entitled to in the parent department

7783/1684 Revision of the scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Dept. (7)



at the beginning when the department was in its infancy, I think there is no justification for grant of any deputation allowance in addition to the revised scales of pay which they are drawing at present.

Food Secy/re) 13/7/48

14/7

1 & W 1948

W KS

Letter no. Cr 1956/(1)-68. dy 20.9.68, from the D.F.S. requesting early orders on the above proposals. Submitted. 22/9

(9) The recommendation of the D.F.S. is in respect of those officials of the Food Supplies Dept. whose services have been lent to that office by other Govt. departments. These officials form the body of the senior and experienced personnel of the Food Supplies Dept. at present. When they joined the Food department, they were not given an allowance over their existing pay (in the parent department) but were fixed in certain special scales which at the time were somewhat higher than those in which they were working in the parent department. With the general revision (Jan: 1947) of scales of pay of non-gazetted staff in Govt. departments (which, however, did not apply to the F.S. section, the latter being considered a temporary department), the pay which many of these officials would now be entitled to in the parent department

(8) 16/6/ Revision of the scales of pay of non-gazetted staff in the Food Supplies Department

is higher than that of a similar post in the Food Supplies Office. [illegible] best interests of the service, that [illegible] may now be given the option [illegible] to in the parent department together with a disturbance allowance at the rate of 20% of such salary.

20) The financial adviser is against the proposals and considers that the utmost that could be granted is to permit such officials to draw pay at the rate admissible to them had they continued in the parent department.

21) It may be noted in this connection that the financial adviser's objections are over the grounds for his contention that the F.S. department is about to be wound up and that in any case the work there is not now of that responsible and strenuous nature that it was in the first few years of the department's inception. As per decisions (of an All-India nature) taken recently, the Food Supplies department is likely to continue for some considerable time, and with the re-imposition of controls and monopoly procurement the work there will be as arduous and responsible as before. It is for consideration therefore whether the Director's proposals may be approved. He has requested that they may be given effect to from 1st January, 1947.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF MINISTER
Dated 22.8.46
Recd 8/46

[Council Case.

(Chief Minister)

Council meeting. Note may be put up

D 1684 Revision of the scale of pay of the Ministerial Staff in the Provincial Secretariat. 4504 FL ⑨

Typed D.C. IV is put up. for approval.

Res 29/XI 4/11

H put up a Typed Draft 4/11

May be approved

5.XI.48

Food Secy

8/11

8/11.

Typed Copies of the C. IV. are put up.

11.11.48 11/11

(Copies are signed)

Subject is for consideration at a meeting of the Council.

11/11

Addl Secy
CB ext/c

Council File No 687.
For Consideration at a Meeting of Council
15. 11. 48.

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR FINANCE & INDUSTRIES.
No. 4356
Date 19.4.49.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
U. O. NO. FL. 8885
20 APR 1949
BANGALORE

The full financial implications of the proposals may be shown.

J.C.D
19/4

Immediate: Say that the file should have passed through F/D again before its submission to Ch. Min.

Secy 20/4/49.

Draft calling for particulars in pursuance of Secy's note dated 20/4/49 is put up.

Secy 21/4/49

(10)

687

for consideration of a meeting of Council

O/C. 15.11.48

## Circulation Note.

Subject:- Revision of the scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Supply Department.

The general revision of scales of non-gazetted posts in the several departments of Government sanctioned in G.O. No. F1-2010-S & A 25-47-S dated 21-9-1947 was not made applicable to the officials working in the Food Department, as the Department was considered to be a temporary one. The proposal of the Director of Food Supplies made in his letter No. C1.1956/47 dated 1-10-47 for revision of scales of pay of the non-gazetted ministerial and executive staff in his unit was negatived as per orders of the Minister for Finance and Industries who minuted as under:-

> " The officials in the Food Department are accustomed receiving emoluments often or rather invariably much above their substantive pay. The increase ranges anywhere from 25 per cent to 75% of their pay and sometimes much above cent percent. Occasionally an official may be found perhaps whose present pay may not come upto what his substantive pay would be after allocation as per the new enhanced scales. In such a case the official concerned could exercise the option of reversion reverting to the original department in case he does not feel like continuing in the Food Department.
>
> The cost of the establishment of the Food Department is now Rs.66.45 lakhs - which is high enough. The proposals for further enhancement is not only not called for in the circumstances but financially unacceptable.

2. Subsequently in his letter of 17th December 1947, the Director of Food Supplies proposed that the minimum scales of pay sanctioned to other Departments for graduates and non graduates in G.O. F1.1865-1925/S & A 25-47-S dated 6-9-1947 might be made applicable to the Food Department also and that the officials working in the Food Department who had their lien on permanent appointments in other departments of Government be allowed the scales of pay admissible to them under the revised orders in their own departments together with a deputation allowance of Rs.20/- percent. This proposal was also not approved.

3. The Director of Food Supplies has in his letter dated 25.8.1948 reported that it has been seen from the sanctioned allocation statements received in

(11)

of officials of the Insurance and Police Departments now working in the Food Department that the revised pay of some of the officials exceeds from 1.1.1947 the sanctioned pay of the posts held by them in the Food Department and that in view of the emergent, responsible and arduous nature of the duties that the officials have been discharging in the Food Department, it is only fair that their emoluments in the Department should be fixed at a somewhat higher scale than that they would have been entitled to in their permanent departments. The Director has accordingly requested sanction:- (1) to grant the officials of other departments now working in the Food Department, their revised pay in the permanent departments plus a deputation allowance of 20% thereof in all cases in which the aggregate of such revised pay and deputation allowance exceeds the pay of the posts held by them in the Food Department and (2) to give effect to this revision from 1.1.1947, the date from which the revised scales of pay have been sanctioned for the cadre of these departments.

4. On these proposals, the Financial Secretary has minuted as follows:-

"When Government took control of food [illegible] operations, the Food Administrator (in charge of Food Supplies) preferred to have fixed scales of pay to the officials of the Food Supply Department instead of the normal procedure of sanctioning deputation allowance (20%) of the salary in addition to the pay of the parent department, in which the officials were working, with the result the officials of the Food Department have all along been enjoying higher rate of fixed pay. This has resulted over the substantive pay rates fixed by the Government. While the officials of the Food Department were being allowed from the beginning their scale pay plus deputation allowance according to the rules.

Merely because some of the officials of other departments who are now working in the Food Department get higher rates of pay in their parent departments in view of the revision of scales of pay recently sanctioned, there is no justification for revising the scales of pay of this temporary department which is to be abolished shortly. The utmost consideration that could be given in this case is that such of the officials who draw higher rate of pay in their parent departments may be permitted to draw the same as long as they work in the Food Department. Whatever might have been the justification that necessitated the grant of higher rates of pay to those officials at a time when the Food Supply Department was in a formative stage, there is no reason to grant the higher scales of pay viz., the revised pay applicable to these officials in their parent department plus a deputation allowance of 20% thereon so long as they continue to work in the Food Supply Department.

In this connection I would like to state that the rule regarding grant of deputation allowance to Government servants required to be modified in view of the fact that this rule which was originally intended to apply only in respect of officials posted for special kind of work is now being made use of in respect of al

of all kinds of work requiring no special skill or ability. Considering that the scales of pay of the non-gazetted Government servants have been liberally revised, I think that the quantum of deputation allowance now being given may be reduced to a maximum of 10% instead of 20% as at present. If necessary the Economy officer may be requested to examine the scope as well as the quantum of allowance to be given to Government servants deputed to works of special nature.

In the meanwhile I would suggest that even in the case of officials(drafted from other departments for work in the Food supply department) who are drawing their grade pay in the department plus deputation allowance, the deputation allowance now being drawn by them may be withdrawn forthwith, as there is now no justification for drawing the deputation allowance in addition to the revised pay which is much more liberal than their pay prior to the revision. Considering that the work in the Food supply Department has become more of a routine nature than involving any special or onerous nature when compared with the work at the beginning when the department was in its infancy, I think there is no justification for grant of any deputation allowance in addition to the revised scales of pay which they are drawing at present.

5. The question has been ordered by the Chief Minister in charge of the current duties of the Dewan to be disposed of at a meeting of the Council of Ministers.

Secretary to Government,
Food & Civil Supplies Deptt.

(11)

(13)

C.No.687/48.

SUBJECT:

Revision of the scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Supply Department.

---

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Council having considered

To be taken up after the chief Ministers return.
HHH

Vide orders of chief Minr in Para 43 of file re: revision of esst in the office of the D.F.S. (Dy no 1540/6950)

"May be withdrawn"
(Ind) R.C.R.
30/10/48

A 229-47-1 (1)

**GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE**

Tele {phone: 2231
grams: Foodsup.

No. C1-1956/47-8
ENCLS. One.

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF FOOD SUPPLIES
IN MYSORE,

*Bangalore, dated* 25th June 1948.

From

Sri K. Guru Dutt, B.A.,
Director of Food Supplies in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

To

Janab A.S. Khaleel, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government, Food Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Subject:- Revision of scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Department.

In continuation of correspondence resting with this office letter of even No. dated 15.1.1948 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that sanctioned allocation statements have since been received in respect of officials of the Insurance and Police Departments now working in this Department, and it is seen therefrom that the revised pay of some of the officials exceeds from 1st January 1947 the sanctioned pay of the posts held by them in this Department. The allocation statements of the other Departments from which officials have been drafted to this Department have not yet been received, but it is likely that the revised pay of some of the officials of those Departments would also be in excess of their pay in this Department. In view of the emergent, responsible and arduous nature of duties that the officials have been discharging in this Department, it is only fair that their emoluments here should be fixed at a somewhat higher scale than what they would have been entitled to in their permanent Departments.

2. In this connection I have to state that consequent upon the retrenchments recently effected, we have barely adequate experienced staff in the Food Offices and it would seriously impair efficiency if the few experienced and senior

officials still working here are also disturbed at this juncture when there are several important measures requiring urgent and concentrated attention.

3. In the circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to grant the officials of other Departments now working in this Department, their revised pay in the permanent Departments plus a Deputation Allowance of 20% thereof, in all cases in which the aggregate of such revised pay and Deputation Allowance exceeds the pay of the posts held by them in this Department and to give effect to this revision from 1st January 1947, the date from which revised scales of pay have been sanctioned in the case of other Departments.

4. From the enclosed copy of the note of the Auditor and Accounting Officer of this Department on the question (a copy of which was forwarded to you with this office letter No.Cl-1956/47-8 dated 4.11.1947), it may kindly be seen that the abovementioned proposal has the general concurrence of the Auditor and Accounting Officer and is in accordance with the provisions of the Mysore Service Regulations, besides being analogous to the system sanctioned in the case of officials working in the Audit and Accounts Section of this Department.

5. As the officials concerned are urging for the drawing of the arrears due to them on the basis of revised pay, I solicit the favour of early orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DIRECTOR OF FOOD SUPPLIES.

BSG.2(25.6)

Remarks of the Auditor and Accounting Officer, Food Department.

It is not regular to fix up pay in deputation appointments without reference to the pay in the substantive appointments. If some people continue to get very much higher emoluments in the Food Department than in their substantive appointments, as has happened, then it creates bickerings in the minds of the large number of officials in the substantive Departments and tends to reduce the morale of Public Service. The M.S.R. therefore provides that in all such cases of deputations, a deputation allowance not exceeding 20 per cent of their salary may be given.

The present revision of pay ordered by Government with several concessions successively given, secures to all the staff an appreciable immediate benefit. If 20 per cent allowance over such revised scales are given it ought to meet the reasonable demands of all the staff in the Food Department even from the point of view of extra work or responsibility in the Food Department. It is not desirable and there is no need also to give anything in excess of this.

The difficulty (1) of the present fixed pay posts in the Department being unsuited to the above arrangement of giving deputation allowance, (2) of the purely temporary employees without appointment in any substantive department, (3) of the need to give periodical increments to one and all instead of only a few people being benefitted by promotions in the fixed pay posts as is happening now, and (4) of cases of promotions could all be solved if the revised scales of pay in the Revenue Department are adopted to corresponding cases here. Initial pay therein may be fixed on the salary drawn in the substantive departments as revised plus 20 per cent extra thereon. The purely temporary people will start on the minimum plus 20 per cent. In either of these cases, if any officials are getting more than the pay according to revised scales plus 20 per cent, they may be allowed to retain the excess as personal to them to be absorbed by later increments. If as in

the case of all other departments such a revised arrangement comes into force from 1.1.47, most of the staff can get increments from 1.1.1948 and at regular intervals later on.

I suggest this arrangement which is expected to meet all reasonable demands and remove several cases of anomolies at present.

In any case, the immediate benefit to be given should not be in excess by over 20 per cent on the salary in the substantive departments as now revised.

Sd/- Y. Subrahmanyam,

Auditor & Accounting Officer,
Food Supplies Dept.

'Copy'

Ramchandra 25/6/48

for DIRECTOR OF FOOD SUPPLIES.

BSG.2(25.6)

A 229-47-2 (3)

**GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE**

Tele { phone: 2231
grams: Foodsup.

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF FOOD SUPPLIES
IN MYSORE,

No C1.1956/47-48.
ENCLS.

22 SEP 1684

*Bangalore, dated* 20th Septr. *1948.*

FROM

The *Director of Food Supplies*
*in Mysore, Bangalore.*

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Food & Civil Supplies Department,
Bangalore.

2219

SIR,

***Subject:*** Revision of Scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Department.

---

In inviting reference to correspondence resting with this Office letter of even number dated 25-6-48 on the above subject, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained early to the proposal to grant the officials of other Departments now working in this Department their revised pay in their permanent Departments plus a Deputation Allowance of 20% of the revised pay in such cases in which the revised pay plus the Deputation Allowance exceeds the pay of the posts held by them in this Department and to give effect to this revision from 1st January 1947 as in the case of the other Departments.

In this connection, I may add that consequent on the allocations of certain Departments being sanctioned by Government a number of officials whose permanent pay in the revised scales - exceeds their present pay are being retained in this Department as they are mostly senior and experienced officials and in the present difficult food situation there is greater need than ever before for the services of such experienced personnel. As their withdrawal from this Department at this stage would not be in the public interest, they are retained here on the presumption that my proposal to give them the benefit of the revised scales of pay, which they are entitled to get in any case, and the Deputation Allowance of 20% which is - admissible under the provisions of the Mysore Service Regulations

Regulations(and which has already been sanctioned in the case of officials working in the Audit and Accounts Section of this - Department) would be sanctioned in the usual course. Unless the proposal is sanctioned, no official whose permanent pay is already more than his present pay would like to continue in this Department nor would it be equitable to retain him here.

As the matter is of importance particularly in view of the need for trained personnel in the months to come, orders of Government approving my proposals may kindly be communicated early.

Yours faithfully,

BN.1/20-9) DIRECTOR OF FOOD SUPPLIES.

(4)

No: F5-P.R. 35/47-48.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
Chitaldrug, dated 14th August 1948.




To

The Director of Food Supplies
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,




Re: Revision of scales of pay of the Food-Establishments in the District.

---

Adverting to your letter No. C1- 3986/47-48., dated the 17th December 1947, addressed to the Secretary to Government, Food and Civil Supplies Department on the above subject and copied to this office, I have the honour to state that sanction of Government has not so far been communicated to the revision of the scale of pay of the non-gazetted employees of the Food Department, and the officials have, consequently, been frequently urging for reversion to their permanent posts in the Revenue Department which has already been given the benefit of the revised scale. If reversions are made and new hands posted to the department at this time, the work of the offices will considerably suffer and hence the services of the experienced hands will have to be retained. The grievance of the nongazetted staff of the Food Department (lent from the Revenue Department) is genuine and has to be redressed early.

I therefore request you to kindly address Government again and obtain their sanction early to given effect to the revised scales to the non-gazetted employees of the Food Department from 1st January 1947.

p.t.o.

7783



from 1st January 1947.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

sd/- H.N. Pallegar,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Chitaldrug District.

M e m o.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Food and Civil Supplies Department, Bangalore, for favour of information, with a request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the proposal of the Director of Food Supplies in Mysore, contained in the letter adverted to above.

Ramaswamy

FOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Chitaldrug District.

(5)

IMMEDIATE

The Asst Secy, C.B. Camp Mysore, requests to have the following files ~~x~~ delivered to C.B. immediately as they are required for consideration at Council Meeting at 9 A.M. on 20th July 1949. If the files are sent to the concerned Ministers, they may kindl y be obtained ~~on~~ from the Establishment of the Minister and sent to the C.B. to-day.

| Number. | Subject. |
|---|---|
| C.1508/48 | Expansion of Govt Soap Factory. |
| C.1609/48 | Rate for the supply of Electrical to the M.T.S. Ltd., Belagula. |
| C.687/48 | Revision ~~xx~~ of Scales of~~x~~ pay of M.C.O. Staff in the Food Supply Department. |

19 JUL 1949
355

Superintendent:- I&C Branch.
Elect "
Food Supply Branch.

for favour of immediate action.

19/7

Recd on 19/7 at 2.30 P.M

The file bearing D.O. No. 7783/I/48 relating to the Revision ~~of~~ scales of pay of M.C.O. staff in the Food Supply Department has been sent to C.B. on 13.11.1948 with a circulation note. Since then, the file has not been ~~withdrawn~~ obtained from the C.B. or any other reference here. However, it is informally learnt that the file is in the F.I. Secty. If approved the Financial Secty will be requested to make the file available to C.B. immediately, for orders.

For compliance

R.S
19/7

A/S. Fin. W/C

19/7

19/7

315 1684

Collectanea Rome

Grave information to ames
his financial employment
as ordered by the Lord
Mayor. As this file is
however wanted urgently,
it is send herewith

Asst City Solr
[illegible]
1921

Asst. Secy. CB

Fl. 10055

30th April

Financial Department.

The Director of Food Supplies
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Subject :- Revision of the ~~Salary~~ scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Department.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C1-1956/47-48, dated 25th June 1948, addressed to the Secretary to Government, Food Department, on the above subject, I am directed to request that the under mentioned particulars may kindly be furnished to Government immediately :-

1. Existing cost on Establishment.
2. The probable extra cost involved by the introduction of the revised scales of pay from 1-1-1947 as in the case of other Departments in respect of those directly recruited.
3. The approximate extra cost involved by the grant of deputation allowance to the officials of other Departments in addition to their revised pay on their permanent Departments.
4. What would be the extra cost, if the deputation allowance is restricted to 10% of salary on the basis of the revised scales of other permanent Departments.
5. Savings that would accrue if the deputation allowance already drawn by some of the officials is reduced to 10%.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- M. Shamanna,

Financial Secretary to Government.

RTN.

P.T.O.

Memo No.Fl. 10056. Bangalore, dated 30 April 1949.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments to the Auditor and Accounting Officer, Food Supplies Department, Bangalore, for information.

By Order,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

(7)

3 copies

Fl. 10055
30/4.

To

The Director of Food Supplies.
Bihar

Subject :- Revision of the Scales of Pay of the non-gazetted Staff in the Food Dept.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C1-1956/1.7.48 dated 25/6/48 addressed to the Secy to Govt Food Dept on the above subject,

I am directed to request that the under-mentioned particulars may kindly be furnished to Govt early.

1) Existing cost on Establishment

2) The probable extra cost involved by the introduction of the revised scales of Pay from 1-1-47 as in case of other Depts in respect of those directly recruited.

3) The approximate extra involved by the grant of deputation allowance to the officials of other Depts, in addition to their revised ~~Substantive~~ Pay ~~in case of those drawing in their~~ in case of those permanent Depts.

4) What would be the extra cost, if the

deputation allowance is restricted to 10% of salary on the basis of the revised scales of pay for permanent Deputy.

5) Saving that would accrue if the deputation allowance already drawn by some of the officials is reduced to 10%.

Yours faithfully

29.4.49

for Secy to Govt

fl. 10056
30/4

Copy W/c to the Auditor & Accounting Officer
Food Supplies Dept

B30

29.4.49

for Secy to Govt

(8)

**GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE**

Tele {phone: 2231
grams; Foodsup.

No. C1.1956/47-8.
ENCLS.

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF FOOD SUPPLIES
IN MYSORE,

*Bangalore, dated* 13th June *194* 9.

FROM

The *Director of Food Supplies*
*in Mysore, Bangalore.*

5209
FL 9/2016

To

The Financial Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

Revision of the scales of pay of the non-gazetted staff in the Food Department.

With reference to your letter No.Fl.10055 dated 30-4-49 on the above subject, I write to state as follows:--

1. The existing cost on establishment is Rs.90,704/- per mensem (exclusive of the Dearness and other allowances and also menial establishment.)

2. The appointments in the Food Department carry at present a fixed pay and not graded scales. The actual cost involved in the introduction of the general grades as in the case of the Revenue Department could be determined only after the revised grades are sanctioned and a detailed allocation is made, maintaining the prescribed proportion between the First and Second grade appointments.

3. & 4. The extra cost involved by the grant of Deputation Allowance to the officials of other Departments in addition to their revised pay of their permanent Departments cannot be computed at present as the allocations of some of the Departments, especially Revenue Department, from which most officials have been drafted to the Food Department have not yet been sanction by Government. The extra cost involved is, however, not likely to be heavy as the proposal is to grant the Deputation Allowance only to officials whose revised pay plus the Deputation Allwance of 20% thereof exceeds the pay of the posts held by them at present in the Food Department.

5. The only officials in the Food Department who are now drawing a Deputation Allowance are the officials working under the Auditor & Accounting Officer and the Assistant Rationing Officers in places other than Bangalore and Mysore Cities. These Assistant Rationing Officers are at present drawing Rs.100/- (their pay in the Food Department just prior to their appointment as Assistant Rationing Officers) plus a Deputation Allowance of 20% thereof plus Rs.20/- Conveyance Allowance per mensem. A recommendation has been made to Government separately to revise the pay of these posts to Rs.125-10-175 plus Rs.20/- Conveyance Allowance per mensem and if the said proposal is sanctioned, the question of reducing the percentage of Deputation Allowance does not arise. In any case the amount involved is very small as there are only 13 such posts at present.

Yours faithfully,

tgs/2

DIRECTOR OF FOOD SUPPLIES.

13-6-69